Listing of the Claims

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A television rating system for targeted program delivery. comprising:

a server-side system for evaluating television behavioral viewing data from a plurality of users and for categorizing the data into non-demographically classifiable category groups;

a clustering engine included in the server-side system for:

receiving the television behavioral viewing data, processing the television behavioral viewing data:

using a category training set for clustering the television behavioral viewing data into the category groups over a predetermined training period[[,]]; and generating usercategory behavioral profiles targeting the category groups; and

generating advertising category prototypes by removing television behavioral viewing data parameters most common between the category behavioral profiles;

a client-side system coupled to the server-side system and adapted to classify a television user into at least one of the category groups based on advertising category prototypes received from the clustering engine;

Patent Application No. 10/043,714 Amdt. Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

a contextual behavioral profiling agent included in the client-side system for

deriving profiling information related to a television user's viewing behavior with content

and usage-related preferences; and

a behavioral model database for storing in the client-side system the profiling

information derived by the profiling agent,

Claim 2 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 1.

wherein said clustering engine is a software agent residing in a central computer system

at a television distribution head-end in the server side system and is programmed to

create template behavioral profiles each corresponding to an associated one of the

targeted category groups.

Claim 3 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 2,

wherein said clustering engine is trained substantially exclusively on tagged viewing data

from a given target group to learn a most general profile of the given target group.

Claim 4 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 2.

wherein said clustering engine is programmed to generalize user profiles in a targeted

category group into an aggregation representative of all dimensions most strongly in

common for the targeted group and all dimensions most unique across several of the

targeted groups.

Patent Application No. 10/043,714 Amdt. Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

Claim 5 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 1,

which further comprises an advertisement manager residing at the server-side system and

connected to query said behavioral model database in the client-side system, said

advertisement manager being programmed to parameterize behavioral profiles of said

behavioral model database and to download the parameterized behavioral profiles to an

advertising category membership agent residing at said client-side system,

Claim 6 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 5,

wherein said advertisement manager includes a television user's history and is configured

to reconstruct the downloaded parameterized behavior profiles in accordance with the

television user's history to determine a most likely advertising category for the user, and

to store the results as targeting category probabilities in a user category database.

Claim 7 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 5,

which further comprises targeting agents and presentation agents disposed at said client-

side system for creating an optimization of targeted category probabilities and relevant

preference information in order to selectively capture, store, and display advertisements

downloaded in accordance with the optimization.

Claim 8 (currently amended): In an interactive display system having a head-end

side for distributing program content that has been pruned for a category, and a client side

receiving the program content and selectively displaying the program content in

Amdt. Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

accordance with the selection of a user, a preference engine for determining a preferred

program content for the user, comprising:

a user monitoring device receiving the pruned program content at the client side

for recording contextual transition behaviors profiling the user to continually build a user

profile of preferences and contextual transition behaviors associated with the user; and

a program distributing device at the head-end side for providing to the user the

program content in accordance with the user profile, wherein a user is classified at the

client-side into at least one category group based on advertising non-demographically

classifiable category prototypes received from the head-end side, wherein the advertising

non-demographically classifiable category prototypes are generated by removing

television viewing data parameters most common between category behavioral profiles

formed at the head-end side by using a category training set for clustering television

behavioral viewing data from a plurality of users into non-demographically classifiable

category groups over a predetermined training period,

Claim 9 (previously presented): The interactive display system and preference

engine according to claim 8, wherein said user monitoring device models the user's

behavioral interaction with advertising program content and with entertainment program

content.

Claim 10 (previously presented): The interactive display system and preference

engine according to claim 8 wherein the program distributing device is connected to

receive from the head-end metadata information describing advertising content and

Amdt. Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

entertainment program content, and is programmed to adjust the user profile by

combining the metadata information with the preferences and contextual transition

behaviors of the user, and to build a relational knowledge base with associations among

the behavior, demographics, and program content preferences of the user.

Claim 11 (previously presented): The interactive display system and preference

engine according to claim 8 wherein the user maintaining device is programmed to model

patterns of usage behaviors with a behavioral model and to extract key usage information

from the behavioral model into a behavioral database having a confidence value that

reflects an estimate of a structural and sampling quality of the data in the database.

Claim 12 (currently amended): In a program content delivery system having a

head-end side and a client side, a system for targeting program delivery, comprising:

a central data system at the head-end side which receives viewing information

from a plurality of users selected from the group consisting of watch data, watch start

time data, watch duration data, and watch channel data, demographic information

describing a program user, and electronic program guide information with metadata

describing a program content;

a demographic cluster knowledge base acquirer receiving from the client side

behavioral data of the user, the knowledge base acquirer outputting a knowledge base

based on the viewing information in the form of a transition matrix with weight sets, the

transition matrix used for predicting a particular category group of a plurality of

Patent Application No. 10/043,714 Amdt. Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

classifiable category groups [[of]] for classifying the user based on the behavioral data of

the user: and

a program content generating module disposed at the head-end side and providing

to the client side streams of program content based on the predicted category group of the

user, wherein a user is classified into at least one category group based on advertising

category prototypes transmitted from the head-end side, wherein the advertising category

prototypes are generated at the head-end side by removing television viewing data

parameters most common between category behavioral profiles formed at the head-end

side by using a category training set for clustering the viewing information from the

plurality of users into the plurality of classifiable category groups over a predetermined

training period.

Claim 13 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 12, which further comprises a realtime feedback link for delivering to said central

data system at the head-end side realtime information with click stream data concerning

the viewing behavior of the user.

Claim 14 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 12, wherein said demographic cluster knowledge base acquirer is based on a hidden

Markov model

Amdt. Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

Claim 15 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 12, wherein said demographic cluster knowledge base acquirer and said program

content generating module are software modules each adapted to be stored on a machine-

readable medium in the form of a plurality of processor-executable instructions.

Claim 16 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 12, wherein said demographic cluster knowledge base acquirer generates

demographic cluster information of the user in terms of statistical state machine transition

models.

Claim 17 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 16, wherein the state machines transition models are defined in the transition

matrix at the head-end side, and the transition matrix contains information of program

transitions initiated by the viewer at the client side,

Claim 18 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 12, wherein the transition matrix is one of at least two concurrent transition

matrices including a channel matrix and a genre matrix.

Claim 19 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 12, wherein the transition matrix is a two-dimensional matrix with transitions from

television channels in normal form to television channels in temporal form.

Amdt. Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

Claim 20 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 14, wherein said demographic cluster knowledge base acquirer is configured to

parameterize the user's behavior with a double random pseudo hidden Markov process,

and to define a low-level statistical state machine modeling a behavioral cluster and a

top-level statistical state machine with active behavioral clusters and an interaction

among the active behavioral clusters.

Claim 21 (previously presented): The program content delivery system according to

claim 12, wherein said demographic cluster knowledge base acquirer is configured to

define a double random process with a plurality of dimensions, and to determine parallel

statistical state machine transition events in at least two of three state categories including

channel, genre, and title of the program content.

Claim 22 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 1,

wherein the television behavioral viewing data from the plurality of users is used to

create the category groups.

Claim 23 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 22,

wherein the profiling information is used to determine a category group to associate a

user with

Claim 24 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 1,

wherein the television behavioral viewing data includes contextual transition data.

Patent Application No. 10/043,714 Amdt, Dated December 11, 2009

Reply to Office Action Dated September 11, 2009

Claim 25 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 24,

wherein the contextual transition data is based on day of week and time of day.

Claim 26 (previously presented): The television rating system according to claim 24,

wherein the contextual transition data is based on a previous type of television program.

Claim 27 (new): The television rating system according to claim 1, wherein the

category training set is initially a preexisting collection of advertising categories.

Claim 28 (new): The television rating system according to claim 1, wherein the

advertising category prototypes are formed during the training period.

Claim 29 (new): The television rating system according to claim 1, wherein the

training period is continuously adjusted.